International Journal of Academic Research
ISSN: 2348-7666; Vol.5, Issue-10, October, 2018
Impact Factor: 6.023; Email: drtvramana@yahoo.co.in

Determinants influencing the profitability of the selected nationalized banks in India

Dr. P. Chakravarthi
M.A. (Economics),M.B.A.(Finance), Ph.D.
Director (IMBA)
Vishwa Vishwani School of Business
Hyderabad.

Abstract

Banking in India originated inside the final decades of the 18th century. After the nationalization of banks, the most important subject became the productiveness and profitability of public sector banks. It became believed that the brand new course is given to the banks given that their nationalization in 1969, and the slacking productivity, has led to declining developments in the income and profitability. This takes a look at is conducted to realize the determinants of profitability of decided on Nationalized Indian banks. In order to get right of entry to and compare the profitability of selected nationalized banks of India, the relationship between economic ratios of the distinctive banks have been studied the use of statistical strategies together with Correlation Analysis, Multiple Regression methods, Factor Analysis, and Trend Analysis. The look at suggests that the principal determinants of profitability of the selected nationalized banks fluctuate for each financial institution and have been recognized one by one for every bank. Also, the maximum commonly influencing 5 elements affecting the profitability of the banks were identified the usage of Factor Analysis. Profitability has a fluctuating trend over the chosen length of study, with an increase in the latest -3 years.

Key Words: Profitability, Nationalized Banks, Correlation, Multiple Regression, Factor Analysis, Trend Analysis

Introduction

The phrases 'Profit' and 'Profitability' are used interchangeably on occasion. But in real experience, there's a difference among the two. Profit is an absolute time period, while, the profitability is a relative concept. However, they're closely associated and collectively interdependent, having distinct roles in the commercial enterprise. Profit refers to the whole earnings earned through the enterprise at some point of the specified period of time, at the same time as profitability refers to the working performance of the company. It is the potential of the enterprise to make earnings on income. It is the capability of the business enterprise to get enough return at the capital and personnel used in the commercial enterprise operation.

As Weston and Brigham rightly notes "to the financial management profit is the check of efficiency and a measure of manage, to the proprietors a degree of the real worth of their funding, to the lenders the margin of protection, to the authorities a degree of taxable potential and a basis of legislative motion and to the u . S . A. Profit is an index of

financial development, countrywide earnings generated and the rise within the well-known of dwelling", while profitability is an outcome of income. In different phrases, no profit drives closer to profitability. Firms having an identical quantity of profit may additionally range in phrases of profitability. "Profit in separate business concerns may be same, yet, many times it usually takes place that their profitability varies while measured in terms of length of investment".

Banking Sector Reforms have modified the face of Indian banking industry. These reforms have brought about an increase in aid productiveness, increasing the degree of deposits, credits and profitability and a decrease in non-performing belongings. However, the profitability, which is a critical criterion to measure the performance of banks in addition to productivity, monetary and operational efficiency, has come under strain due to changing surroundings of banking. An efficient control of banking operations aimed at ensuring growth in income and performance requires an up-to-date understanding of all the one's factors on which the bank's profit relies upon. Profitability is a price expressing profit as a percentage of overall assets or income or every other variable to represent the connection. In fact, there may be various dimensions of profitability evaluation.

Literature Review

Imran Saleem (2005) He is of the opinion that Indian financial machine is characterized by predominance of public zone units and an excessive degree of policies, motivated especially by way of socio-financial issues, due to liberalization, the existing institutional arrangement of the banking sector has grown to be poor in various ways the essential issues related to international competitiveness consists of economic soundness, operational performance, viability, profitability. The mainly Indian banking system by way of main factors, they're external and internal. Internal elements which include loss of dright supervision, low productivity and overall performance of personnel etc. Whereas the external having bearing at the profitability has targeted on pre-emption in the shape SLR, CRR, and the administered structure of interest fees.

Murty (2006) He analyzed various factors, which may be useful to improve the profitability of public area banks. The take a look at study the impact of economic coverage and marketplace interest fees at the financial institution profitability and also suggest diverse measures to improve the profitability of the general public zone banks in India.

Sarker and Das (2007) They compare the performance of public, personal and foreign banks for the 12 months 1994-95 via using measures of profitability, productiveness and economic management. They determined PSBs acting poorly with the alternative categories. However, they supply warning that no firm inference can be derived from a comparison performed for a single year.

Das (2009) Das compares performance among public zone banks for three years in the publish-reform period, 1992, 1995 and 1998. He reveals a certain convergence in performance. He additionally notes that even as there's a

welcome increase in emphasis on non-interest income, banks have tended to show threat-averse conduct with the aid of opting for hazard-free investments over risky loans.

Kaveri (2010). In his look at tries to extend the study conducted by way of the Verma Committee more specifically to envision whether or not enough alerts of weakness had been indicated tons before the event. The gift examine considers 1998-ninety nine because the year of the event whilst the Verma Committee diagnosed vulnerable banks, sturdy banks and potentially vulnerable banks. This article has given a few proofs to signify that no bank may be vulnerable or capability vulnerable all of an unexpected. There is a gradual deterioration within the role of mortgage default and profitability. Hence, it's miles to be cautioned to increase a ratio model to reach at a single rating to classify banks into three classes i.E. Weak, robust and ability susceptible.

Thaigarajan et. al. (2015) They have done an analysis to empirically compare the determinants of profitability inside the public and private sector banks in India the use of statistical gear consisting of correlation evaluation, Multiple Regression Analysis, and Factor evaluation. They have used ROA as the measure of profitability of the banks. This paper is the base for our observe. On the identical line as theirs, I have achieved a similar analysis for the chosen nationalized Banks, to pick out the financial institution clever profitability determinants.

Objectives

The modern study pursuits at studying the financial institution-specific variables influencing the profitability of the selected nationalized banks in India. The most important goals of the have a look at are as follows,

1. To analyze the profitability of selected nationalized banks.
2. To identify the elements which have led to the cutting-edge position of nationalized banks.
3. To examine the impact of these elements on the profitability of the respective banks.

Research Methodology

Data Collection

This study is an attempt to perceive the key determinants of profitability of selected Public Sector Banks in India. As always as the scope of the examiner is concerned, it covers 5 foremost nationalized banks functioning in India. These are: Bank Of India, Central Bank, Punjab National Bank, Canara Bank, Dena Bank These banks are purposely selected for the study retaining in view their function in involvement in shaping the monetary situation of India, in particular in terms of advances, deposits, manpower employment, department networks, and many others. The examiner has bbeen conducted on the idea of secondary data particularly comprising of the Annual Reports of the selected Banks, the usage of temporal facts for the remaining ten years from 2006-07 to 2015-16.

Data Analysis Techniques and Variables

The bank-wise analysis has completed the use of Correlation Analysis, Multiple Regression Analysis, Factor Analysis and Trend Analysis of the variables beneath examine. To discover the prominent factors responsible for the profitability of the selected nationalized banks and to measure the extent of the

impact of the unbiased variables at the dependent variable the following ratios are analyzed to take a look at the performance of the chosen nationalized banks:

Selected Variables

Correlation analysis tries to look at the degree of relationship between the profitability measure ROA and the other decided on variables.

Data Analysis

Correlation Analysis between ROA and

Table 1: Correlation Analysis between ROA and Selected Variables

	Bank Of India	Central Bank	Punjab National Bank	Canara Bank	Dena Bank
X_2	.212	.126	-.613	-.294	.422
X_3	.294	-.108	.839	.027	.593
X_4	.329	-.029	.537	-.116	.445
X_5	-.023	.054	-.817	-.234	-.245
X_6	.215	.168	.707	-.145	-.457
X_7	.253	-.069	-.618	-.208	-.391
X_8	.467	.194	-.359	.059	-.307
X_9	.507	.340	-.314	.121	-.200
X_{10}	-.424	.014	-.510	.104	-.638
X_{11}	.320	.057	-.361	-.229	-.236
X_{12}	.715	.057	.172	.366	.043
X_{13}	.222	.136	.600	.543	.617
X_{14}	.338	.247	-.210	-.231	.068
X_{15}	.515	-.295	-.090	-.316	.005
X_{16}	.402	.251	.715	.623	.465
X_{17}	.037	.199	.850	.407	.619
X_{18}	.540	.498	.894	.598	.823
X_{19}	.559	.440	-.552	.314	.442
X_{20}	-.052	-.131	-.731	.142	-.559
X_{21}	.599	.136	.463	.349	.056
X_{22}	.071	.012	-.328	-.222	-.530

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

Interpretation

1. Bank of India

The above desk indicates that during the case of Bank of India, X5, X10, and X20 are inversely associated with ROA even as the rest of the variables are definitely associated with ROA. The ratio of operating profit to overall assets X12 has the highest diploma of wonderful correlation of zero.715 with ROA, followed by way of Return on Net well worth (%) X21. The ratio of priority zone to overall advances X5 has the lowest bad correlation with ROA.

2.Central Bank

In the case of Central Bank, X3, X4, X7, X15, X20 are inversely related with ROA even as the rest of the variables are undoubtedly associated with ROA. Profit according to worker (in lakhs) X18 has the very best degree of high-quality correlation of zero.498 with ROA, followed by means of Capital Adequacy Ratio CAR X19, Provision and

contingency to total belongings X22 has a lowest wonderful correlation with ROA.

3.Punjab National Bank

In case of Punjab National Bank, X3, X4, X6, X12, X13, X16, X17, X18 and X21 are positively related with ROA whilst the relaxation of the variables are inversely associated with ROA. X18 has the highest degree of advantageous correlation of zero.894 with ROA, followed through Business consistent with worker (in lakhs) X17, followed by using Credit to Deposit Ratio X3. Cost of budgcet X15 has the lowest poor correlation with ROA

.

4. Canara Bank

In case of Canara Bank, X3, X8, X9, X10, X12, X13, X16, X17, X18, X19, X20, and X21 are at once related with ROA while the relaxation of the variables is inversely related with ROA. Return on advances X16 has the best diploma of fine correlation of 0.623 with ROA, observed by way of Profit consistent with employee (in lakhs) X18, followed by using Return on fairness X13. Credit to Deposit Ratio X3 has the lowest wonderful correlation with ROA.

5.Dena Bank

In case of Dena Bank, X2, X3, X4, X12, X13, X14, X15, X16, X17, X18, X19, and X21 are at once associated with ROA while the relaxation of the variables is inversely associated with ROA. Profit consistent with employee (in lakhs) X18 has the best degree of tremendous correlation of zero.823 with ROA, accompanied by way of Wages as % to overall fees X10, observed via Business in line with the worker (in lakhs) X17. Cost of funds X15 has a lowest high-quality correlation with ROA.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple Regression Analysis is a statistical process that tries to assess the connection between an established variable and two or more unbiased variables. Here go back on a property (the structured variable Y) is associated with 21 different variables such as cash to deposit ratio, hobby income to total property, and so on (the unbiased variables).

Table 2 Results of Multiple Regression Analysis

Constant	Bank of India	Central Bank	Punjab	Canara Bank	Dena Bank
X1	-.647	6.278	2.398	2.186	4.158
X_2	-.030	-.047	-.027	.071	0.00
X_4	.045	0.00	0.00	-.031	.071
X_5	0.00	0.00	-.014	0.00	0.00
X_6	0.00	0.00	0.00	-.016	-.092
X_8	.460	-.737	-.428	.301	-.187
X_9	1.055	1.231	0.00	0.00	0.00
X_{10}	-.040	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
X_{11}	0.00	.173	-.067	0.00	0.00
X_{12}	.384	0.00	.565	0.00	1.265
X_{13}	0.00	0.00	-.013	.062	-.103
X_{14}	0.00	-1.206	0.00	-.001	-.147

X_{15}	0.00	-.348	0.00	0.00	0.00
X_{16}	0.00	0.00	.082	-.024	-.527
X_{19}	-.265	.478	-.009	.002	0.00
X_{21}	-.003	-.071	0.00	0.00	.066
X_{22}	-.691	-.380	.108	-.465	-.916
R square	**0.976**	**0.967**	**0.775**	**0.819**	**0.786**

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

Interpretation

1 Bank of India

The expected regression version for Bank of India is

Y= -zero.647 -0.030(X2) +0.1/2(X4) +zero.460(X8) +1.Half(X9) -0.040(X10) +zero.384(X12) -0.265(X19) - 0.003(X21) -0.691(X22)

The coefficients for each of the variables suggests the amount of change one ought to anticipate in return on asset(Y) given a one-unit trade inside the price of that variable, given that each one different variable in the model is held consistent. In this model, Ratio of on hobby earnings to total assets X9 has the most important contribution and tremendous to ROA with the very best coefficient, 1. Half and Return on Net really worth X21 has the smallest contribution and inverse relation with ROA as indicated through the coefficient-0.003. The R-squared is zero.976, meaning that approximately ninety seven.6% of the range of going back on assets (Y) is accounted for by way of the variables within the model.

2 Central Bank

The expected regression model for Central Bank is

Y= 6.278 -0.047(X2) -0.737(X8) +1.231(X9) +zero.173(X11) -1.206(X14) -zero.348(X15) +0.478(X19) -0.071(X21) -0.380(X22)

In this model, Ratio of non-interest earnings to total property X9 has the largest contribution and positive to ROA with the best coefficient, 1.231, and Cash to Deposit Ratio X2 has the smallest contribution and inverse relation with ROA as indicated by way of the coefficient -zero.047. The R-squared is 0.967, which means that about ninety seven% of the variability of going back on belongings Y is accounted for via the variables in the model.

Three Punjab National Bank

Here the envisioned regression version for Punjab National Bank is

Y= 2.398 -bzero.027(X2) -zero.014(X5) -zero.428(X8) -0.067(X11) +0.565(X12) -zero.013(X13) +0.082(X16) -zero.009(X19) +zero.108(X22).

In this version, Ratio of running income to overall property X12 has the most important coefficient of zero.565, contributing undoubtedly to ROA and Capital Adequacy Ratio(CRAR) X19 has the smallest coefficient -0.009, indicating the inverse relation with ROA. The R-squared is .775, that means that approximately77.5% of the variety of return on belongings (Y) is accounted for by way of the variables in the model.

4. Canara Bank

The envisioned regression version for Canara Bank is

Y= 2.186 +0.071(X2) -zero.031(X4) -zero.016(X6) +zero.301(X8)

+zero.062(X13) -czero.001(X14) -zero.024(X16)+0.002(X19) -0.465(X22).

In this, Provision and contingency to general property X22 have the biggest coefficient, -zero.465, indicating the inverse relation with ROA and Cost of deposit X14 has the smallest coefficient of - 0.001, indicating the inverse relation with ROA. The R-squared is zero.819, that means that dapproximately82% of the variability of going back on assets (Y) is accounted for by using the variables in the model.

5. Dena Bank

The estimated regression version is
Y= four.158+0.071(X4) -zero.092(X6) -zero.187(X8) +1.265 (X12) -0.103(X13) -0.147(X14) -0.527(X16) +zero.066(X21) -zero.916(X22)

In this model, Ratio of operating earnings to general property X12 has the largest coefficient, 1.265, and Return on Net worth X21 has the smallest coefficient of 0.066, both indicating a high-quality rdelation with ROA. The R-squared is zero.786, which means that approximately seventy eight.6% of the variability of going back on belongings (Y) is accounted for by means of the variables in the model.

The above table also shows that Ratio of internet interest margin X8 and Provision and contingency to total belongings X22 are the normally contributing variables to ROA of all of the banks. The relaxation of the variables has variations in explaining ROA for distinct banks. A ratio of non-interest income to general belongings X9 has the largest contribution and fine to ROA in case of each Bank of India and Central Bank while, Ratio of operating income to overall property X12 has the most important contribution and wonderful to ROA in case of each Punjab National Bank and Dena Bank.

Factor Analysis

The method of Factor Analysis attempts to estimate the fee for the coefficients of regression whilst the variables are regressed upon the elements. These coefficients are called "Factor Loadings". The matrix of element loadings gives the premise for grouping the variables into not unusual factors. Each variable is assigned to the component, where it has the best loading. The VARIMAX Rotation is used in Factor Analysis.

1. Bank of India

Table three underneath offers the results of Factor Analysis for Bank of India

Extraction technique: principal factor analysis:

Principal component analysis works on an initial assumption that everyone the variance is not unusual; therefore before extraction, the commonalities are all one. From the above Table, 3 commonality for the primary variable is 91.7% of variance related to X1 is common or shared variance. Rotated element matrix is the thing loadings for every variable onto every factor. The rotation has the impact of optimizing the element structure and one effect for these statistics is that the relative importance of the 4 factors is equalized. Before rotation, maximum variables loaded exceptionally onto the first element and closing elements did no longer truly get a look in.

Table 3: Factor Loadings after Rotation for BOI

	Factors					
	1	2	3	4	5	Communality
X_1	.174	.219	.765	.504	.001	.917
X_2	.637	-.022	-.139	.364	.564	.876
X_3	.816	-.396	.063	.332	.088	.944
X_4	.871	.086	.189	.002	.383	.949
X_5	.097	-.962	.061	.183	-.093	.980
X_6	.633	-.637	.341	.051	-.027	.927
X_7	.008	.989	.094	-.091	.046	.997
X_8	.272	.203	.187	.541	.713	.951
X_9	-.514	.462	.622	-.064	-.269	.942
X10	-.883	-.108	-.129	-.323	-.057	.915
X11	-.070	.975	.103	.088	-.127	.989
X12	.075	.179	.840	.131	-.193	.798
X13	-.168	.155	.225	.091	-.912	.944
X14	.884	-.217	.140	.245	-.273	.982
X15	.085	.923	.229	.266	-.022	.984
X16	.556	-.080	-.086	.748	.289	.966
X17	.883	-.177	-.056	-.086	.392	.975
X18	.860	-.036	.294	.295	.203	.956
X19	.499	-.181	.796	-.135	.139	.954
X20	-.655	.611	.154	-.395	-.126	.998
X21	.230	-.154	.258	.887	-.068	.934
X22	-.470	.833	.129	-.203	-.138	.991
Eigen values	6.996	5.856	2.889	2.762	2.366	
% of Variance	31.798	26.620	13.133	12.554	10.755	
Cumulative %	31.798	58.418	71.551	84.105	94.860	

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

From Rotated Component Matrix Communality for X1= (.174)2+ (.219)2+ (.765)2+ (.504)2+ (.001)2=zero.9175

Table 3 additionally gives the end result of Total Variance Explained, The Eigenvalues related to every issue represent the variance defined with the aid of that specific linear element Extracting all the factors with Eigenvalues more than 1, which leaves us with five factors that are again displayed as % of variance explained.

Factor 1 explains 31.798% of the general variance.

Here first five elements explain the enormously large amount of variance, specifically component 1 whereas next factors explain the only a small quantity of variance. After rotation thing 1 accounted 31.798% as compared to 26.62%, 13.133%, 12.554% and 10.755% for factors 2, 3, 4and five respectively. . All the five factors taken together may want to explain 94.86% cumulative variance.

After rotation, the project of labeling or naming the thing comes:

1. Factor 1 contains of a variable: X2=coins to deposit ratio, X3=credit to deposit ratio, X4=ratio to term deposit to D overall deposit, X10 =Wages as % to total charges, X14 =Cost of deposit, X17 =Business consistent with the worker (in lakhs), X18 =Profit in keeping with an employee (in lakhs), X20 =Net NPA ratio to internet advances. The call of factor 1 is “enterprise development via deposits”.

2. Factor 2 contains of variable X5=ratio of priority area to general advances, X6=Ratio of term mortgage to overall advances, X7=Ratio of interest earnings to total asset, X9=Ratio of other earnings to total asset, X11= Interest expended to total asset, X15=Cost of the budget, a contingency to overall assets. Name of component 2 is "management of advances".

3. Factor three accommodates of variable X1=Return on Assets and X19=Capital Adequacy Ratio (CRAR). Name of the element 3 is "type of assets".

4. Factor four accommodates of variable X12=Ratio of working income to total asset, X16=Return on Advances and X21=Return on Net Worth (%). Name of component four is "profitability ratios". 5.. Factor 5 incorporates of variable In element five, it'll contain variable: X8=Ratio of net hobby margin to total asset, X13=Return on fairness. Name of the element five is “net earnings”. Trend Analysis over the length or have a look at is completed using Bar Charts, for the variables inside each factor for every of the chosen banks. From the notable graphs, it far says that overall trends are increasing until 2009. After 2009, there is little lower in those values or ratios.

2. Central bank

Table four beneatch presents the effects of Factor Analysis for Central Bank.

Factor 1 explains 45.637% of the overall variance. Here the first four factors provide an explanation for the surprisingly large amount of variance, especially component 1 whereas subsequent factors explain the handiest small quantity of variance. After rotation element 1 accounted 42.305% as compared to twenty.317%, 14.234% and thirteen.795% for elements 2, three, and 4 respectively. All the 4 factors taken together could explain 90.65% cumulative variance.

After rotation, the subsequent 4 elements may be recognized for Central Bank:

- Factor 1 contains of variable X3 =Credit to deposit ratio, X5 =Ratio of precedence zone to overall advances, X6 =Ratio of term loan to overall advances, X7=Ratio of hobby profits to total

property , X8=Ratio of internet hobby margin to overall assets, X9 =Ratio of different profits to overall belongings, X10=Wages as % to overall expenses , X12 =Ratio of operating earnings to total property, X16=Return on advances , X17Business consistent with employee (in lakhs), X20 =Net NPA ratio to internet advances and X22 =Provision and contingency to general assets. Name of this aspect is “overall enterprise improvement factor”.

• Factor 2 comprises of variable X2 =Cash to deposit ratio, X4 =Ratio to time period deposit to overall deposits, X11 = Interest expended to total assets, X15 =Cost of a budget. Name of this thing is "management of deposits'. Adequacy Ratio (CRAR). Name of this factor is “control of property”.

• Factor 3 contains of variable X13 =Return on equity, X18= Profit according to the worker (in lakhs) and X21 =Return on Net Worth (%).Name of this aspect is "profitability". From the certain graphs, it far says that normal developments are the boom in 2011. After 2009, there may be

3. Punjab National Bank

Table 5 beneath presents the effects of Factor Analysis for Punjab National Bank.

From the above Table, five commonality for the primary variable is ninety six.7% of the variance associated with X1 is commonplace or shared variance. Variance defined with the aid of the four elements, in my opinion, has modified slightly after rotation. But the commonality from the factor matrix and from the circled factor matrix could be identical.

From Rotated Component Matrix

Communality for X1= (.132)2+ (-.466)2+ (.064)2+ (.512)2= 0.967

Table 5 additionally gives the end result of Total Variance Explained. Extracting all of the elements with Eigenvalues greater than 1, which leaves us with four factors which are once more displayed as % of variance explained. Factor 1 explains 35.117% of the overall variance. Here first four elements explain the relatively huge amount of variance, particularly issue 1 while next elements explain the handiest small amount of variance. After rotation element 1 accounted for 35.Eleven% as compared to 26.Forty-three %, 17.19% and 12.Forty-seven % for factors 2, 3, and 4 respectively. All the four elements taken collectively may want to explain 91.21% cumulative variance.

After rotation, the following 4 factors may be identified for Punjab National Bank:

1. Factor 1 incorporates of variable X1 =Return on Assets, X3=Credit to deposit ratio, X4=Ratio to term deposit to overall deposits, X5 =Ratio of priority area to general advances, X10 =Wages as % to general expenses , X13=Return on equity , X16 =Return on advances, X17=Business consistent with worker (in lakhs) , X18 =Profit per worker (in lakhs) and X19 =Capital Adequacy Ratio(CRAR)

Table 4: Factor Loadings after Rotation for Central Bank:

	Factors				
	1	2	3	4	Communality
X_1	.132	-.093	.708	.270	.600
X_2	.413	.819	.235	.022	.897
X_3	-.974	.126	.047	.144	.988
X_4	-.212	.960	.040	.134	.986
X_5	.687	-.635	-.231	.048	.931
X_6	-.907	.044	.402	.076	.992
X_7	.903	.303	-.216	.041	.955
X_8	.817	-.271	.154	.296	.852
X_9	.826	.079	.356	-.220	.864
X_{10}	.783	-.555	-.139	.117	.954
X_{11}	.120C	.689	.077	.594	.848
X_{12}	.980	.020	-.153	-.044	.985
X_{13}	.555	.025	-.246	.716	.883
X_{14}	-.347	.207	.728	-.525	.969
X_{15}	.014	.939	-.207	-.210	.968
dX_{16}	.792	-.067	.210	.421	.853
X_{17}	-.699	.318	.402	.475	.977
X_{18}	-.500	.238	.544	.623	.990
X_{19}	-.173	.036	.910	-.201	.899
X_{20}	.886	.219	-.363	-.111	.977
X_{21}	-.273	-.021	-.040	.835	.774
X_{22}	.628C	-.598	.120	-.188	.801
Eigen values	9.307	4.47	3.131	3.035	
C% of	42.305	20.317	14.234	13.795	
Cumulative	42.305	62.622	76.856	90.65	

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

Table:5 Factor Loadings after Rotation for PNB

	Factors				
	1	2	3	4	Communality
X_1	.695	-.466	.064	.512	.967
X_2	-.316	.004	.093	-.814	.771
X_3	.873	-.358	-.292	.153	.999
X_4	.869	.320	.032	.201	.899
X_5	-.842	.184	.294	-.304	.922
X_6	.320	-.820	-.283	.150	.878
X_7	-.340	.902	.145	.020	.951
X_8	.022	.221	.583	-.611	.762
X_9	-.225	.615	.629	.159	.850
X_{10}	-.810	-.444	.070	-.294	.944
X_{11}	.045	.979	-.091	.089	.976
X_{12}	.114	.095	.857	.030	.757
X_{13}	.604	.194	.475	.530	.909
X_{14}	.208	.954	-.009	.148	.975
X_{15}	.228	.493	-.803	.040	.941
X_{16}	.946	-.192	.149	-.052	.957
X_{17}	.895	-.281	-.057	.227	.935
X_{18}	.862	-.279	-.013	.333	.932
X_{19}	-.912	.028	.252	.159	.921
X_{20}	-.245	.883	.131	-.275	.932
X_{21}	.218	.246	.559	.759	.996
X_{22}	-.369	.176	.851	-.035	.893
Eigen values	7.724	5.815	3.783	2.745	
% of Variance	35.111	26.43	17.193	12.477	
Cumulative %	35.111	61.541	78.734	91.211	

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

4. Canara bank

Table 6 below presents the results of Factor Analysis for Canara Bank.

Table:6 Factor Loadings after Rotation for Canara Bank

	Factors			
	1	2	3	Communality
X_1	-.023	-.245	.899	.870
X_2	-.500	.368	-.387	.536
X_3	-.972	-.120	-.038	.962
X_4	-.957	.032	-.099	.926
X_5	.891	-.234	-.333	.960
X_6	-.422	.723	-.061	.705
X_7	.799	.564	-.058	.960
X_8	.606	-.738	-.095	.920
X_9	.931	.060	.061	.874
X_{10}	.692	-.684	-.047	.949
X_{11}	.386	.919	-.001	.994
X_{12}	.832	-.385	.227	.892
X_{13}	-.186	.508	.763	.874
X_{14}	.003	.943	.034	.890
X_{15}	.152	.969	-.078	.968
X_{16}	.075	-.013	.807	.657
X_{17}	-.876	.142	.432	.975
X_{18}	-.759	.074	.602	.943
X_{19}	-.705	.229	.472	.772
X_{20}	.947	.161	.242	.980
X_{21}	.082	.724	.591	.880
X_{22}	.935	.107	-.118	.899
Eigen values	9.956	5.841	3.588	
% of Variance	45.253	26.549	16.308	
Cumulative %	45.253	71.802	88.11	

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

2.
Factor 2 accommodates of variable X6 =Ratio of time period loan to general advances, X7 =Ratio of interest profits to total property, X9=Ratio of other earnings to total property, X11 = Interest expended to overall assets, X14 =Cost of deposits and X20 =Net NPA ratio to internet advances. Name of this component is "Management of belongings".

3. Factor 3 accommodates of variable X9=Ratio of different income to total belongings, X12=Ratio of running income to overall assets, X15=Cost of finances and X22=Provision and contingency to overall property. Name of this factor is "profitability and provision".
Four. Factor four accommodates of variable X8 =Ratio of internet hobby margin to general property, X2 =Cash to deposit ratio and X21 =Return on Net Worth (%). Name of this element is "liquidity and go back"

For Punjab National Bank the following charts describe the trend of each of the variables inside four extracted elements:
From the specifical graphs, it is said that universal traits are many fluctuations. After 2008, there is a little boom in various values or ratios.

From the above Table, 6 commonality for the primary variable is 87% of variance related to X1 is commonplace or shared variance. Variance explained by means of the four elements, in my opinion, has changed barely after rotation. But the commonality from the issue matrix and from the turned around issue matrix may be equal.

5. Dena bank

From Rotated Component Matrix Communality for X1= (-0.023)2+ (-zero.245)2+ (.899)2= zero.87

After rotation, the subsequent 4 elements can be recognized for Canara Bank:

1. Factor 1 comprises of variable X2 =Cash to deposit ratio, X3 =Credit to deposit ratio, X4=Ratio to time period deposit to general deposits, X5=Ratio of priority zone to total advances, X7 =Ratio of interest profits to general belongings, X9=Ratio of different income to overall assets, X10 =Wages as % to overall prices, X12=Ratio of operating profit to total asset, X17 =Business in keeping with worker (in lakhs), X18=Profit according to worker (in lakhs), X19 =Capital Adequacy Ratio(CRAR) and X20=Net NPA ratio to net advances. Name of this factor is "common business productivity".

2. Factor three comprises of variable X6 =Ratio of time period mortgage to general advances, X8=Ratio of net hobby margin to total assets, X11= Interest expended to overall assets, X14=Cost of deposits, X15 =Cbost of finances and X21 =Return on Net Worth (%). Name of this thing is "manipulate of expenditure".

3. Factor 3 comprises of variable X13 =Return on equity, X16 =Return on advances, X1 =Return on Assets and X22 =Provision and contingency to general belongings. Name of this element is "profitability & provision". For Canara Bank, the subsequent charts describe the fashion of every one of the variables inside three extracted factors

Table 6 below presents the results of Factor Analysis for Dena Bank.

Table:7 Factor Loadings after Rotation for Dena Bank:

	Factors				
	1	2	3	4	Communalit
X_1	.331	-.167	.817	-.117	.819
X_2	.853	.015	.165	.426	.936
X_3	.787	-.479	.351	.084	.980
X_4	.826	.215	.262	.022	.797
X_5	-.742	.528	.162	-.015	.856
X_6	-.449	-.472	-.539	-.110	.727
X_7	-.387	.897	-.143	-.099	.985
X_8	-.019	-.275	-.562	-.174	.423
X_9	-.914	.324	.090	-.052	.952
X_{10}	-.796	-.199	-.488	-.076	.917
X_{11}	-.149	.979	-.032	-.033	.982
X_{12}	-.901	.006	.327	-.172	.949
cX13	-.021	-.112	.900	.117	.836
X_{14}	.180	.957	.182	.045	.984
X_{15}	.119	.977	.097	-.055	.982
X_{16}	.664	.104	.345	.015	.571
X_{17}	.809	-.217	.441	-.192	.933
X_{18}	.713	-.140	.639	-.187	.971
	.	-	.	-	.940
	-	.	-	-	.990
	.	-	.	.	.949
	-	.	-	.	.930
E.	8	5	3	1	
%	3	2	1	6	
Cu	39	65	81	88	

(Source: RBI, DBIE & Author's estimation)

From the above Table, 7 commonality for the primary variable is 82% of the variance associated with X1 is common or shared variance. Variance explained via the 4 factors personally has changed slightly after rotation. But the commonality from the issue matrix and from the circled factor matrix may be equal. From Rotated Component Matrix Communality for X1= (zero.331)2+ (-0.167)2+ (.817)2+(-0.117)2= 0.819
Table 7 also gives the end result of Total Variance Explained. Extracting all of the elements with Eigen valued greater than 1,c which leaves us with 4 factors that are once more displayed as % of variance explained. Factor 1 explains 39.28% of the general variance. Here first 4 elements explain the enormously large quantity of variance, especially element 1 while subsequent factors explain the simplest small quantity of variance. After rotation thing 1 accounted 39.28% compared to 26.26%, 16.39% and six.27% for factors 2, three and 4 respectively. All the three elements taken together should explain 88.22% cumulative variance.

After rotation, the following 4 elements may be identified for Dena Bank:

1. Factor 1 incorporates of variable X2=Cash to deposit ratio, X3 =Credit to deposit ratio, X4 =Ratio to time period deposit to general deposits, X5 =Ratio of priority zone to overall advances, X9=Ratio of different income to general property, X10=Wages as % to overall fees, X12=Ratio of operating earnings to general assets, X16=Return on advances , X17=Business in keeping with worker (in lakhs) , X18 = Profit in keeping with worker (in lakhs) , X19=Capital Adequacy Ratio (CRAR) and X22 =Provision and contingency to total property. Name of this thing is "usual business productivity".

2. Factor 2 incorporates of variable X7=Ratio earnings to general assets, X11 = Interest expended to overall belongings, X14=Cost of deposits, X15=Cost of finances and X20 =Net NPA ratio to net advances. Name of this factor is "manipulate of expenditure".

3. Factor 3 incorporates of variable X1 =Return on Assets, X6 =Ratio of term mortgage to overall advances and X13=Return on equity. Name of this issue is "profitability".

4. Factor 4 incorporates of variable X8=Ratio of internet interest margin to general belongings and X21 =Return on Net Worth (%). Name of this component is "productivity". For Dena Bank, the following charts describe the trend of each of the variables inside 3 extracted factors

Summary

Major findings of the have a look at are summarized as underneath

In BOI, go back on the property is definitely correlated with the ratio of operating earnings to overall assets. In the predicted regression model of BOI, the ratio of other income to the general asset has the very best contribution to go back on assets with high-quality 1. Half So it can be interpreted that growth of one In case of BOI, cash to deposit ratio, ratio to time period deposit to overall deposits and price of deposits are also maximum affecting variables and contributing in enhance the profitability. There is a fine correlation coefficient between other income and return on assets.

In PNB, there are many variables which are incredibly correlated with return on belongings. Like credit score to deposit ratio, business per worker and earnings according to the employee are positively correlated, while precedence region advances to overall advances and Net NPA ratio to net advances are negatively correlated. In that highest fantastic correlation of going back on an asset is with earnings per employee and is the highest terrible correlation is with a ratio of precedence region to general advances.

In Central Bank, go back on belongings is definitely correlated with earnings in line with the employee. In this situation, the correlation isn't always a lot high but this correlation is highest as compared to different positively correlated variables. In the Central bank, regression coefficient is maximum in case of the ratio of different income to a general asset with the value 1.231(high quality). Hence it is able to be interpreted that growth of 1 unit in a ratio of different income to general asset there is growth 1.231 in go back on belongings. There is a fine correlation coefficient among Cost of deposits and go back on belongings. It is favorable to the bank as deposit earnings will increase, go back on property also increases. In this bank, ratio of interest profits to general assets, ratio of internet hobby margin to general belongings, ratio of different profits to overall belongings, the ratio of working earnings to overall property, go back on advances and the ratio of provision and contingency to overall belongings are the important determinants of profitability.

In Canara Bank, go back on the property is definitely correlated with the go back on advances and income in step with the worker. In this example, the regression coefficient of provision and contingency to total belongings is highest however negative with the price as -0.465(negative). Hence boom of one unit in provision and contingency to overall property, there's lower 0.465 in go back on the property. There is a negative correlation coefficient among provision and contingency to general belongings and return on the property. In this financial institution, cash to deposit ratio, ratio to time period deposit to overall deposits, ratio of hobby income to general property, ratio of other profits to overall belongings, ratio of operating income to overall property and capital adequacy ratio(CAR) are the fundamental determinants of profitability recognized by using the “Overall Business Productivity” Factors.

In Dena Bank, return on assets is definitely correlated with profit in line with the worker. Here, the regression coefficient is maximum in case of the ratio of working income to an overall asset with the value as 1.265(superb). Hence increase of one unit in a ratio of running earnings to the general asset, there may be growth 1.265 in go back on assets. There is an advantageous correlation coefficient between the ratio of working profit to total property and return on belongings. It is favorable to the financial institution. Here, cash to deposit ratio, ratio to term deposit to total deposits, the ratio of other profits to general belongings, ratio of working income to overall property, go back on advances, Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) and provision and contingency to general belongings are the principal determinants of profitability of this

financial institution.

There are some variables which can be commonplace to generally all five banks. The variables which can be most normally influencing the profitability of all nationalized banks as recognized through Factor Analysis are Overall Business Productivity Factor, Ratio of credit score to general deposit, Ratio of priority area to overall advances, Wages as % to general expenses, Business consistent with employee (in lakhs), earnings in line with worker (in lakhs) and Net NPA ratio to net advances are the most affecting.

Conclusion

From the above summary, we can see that the profitability of nationalized banks primarily influenced by "Overall Business Productivity Factor". The principal determinants of profitability of the chosen nationalized banks vary for each bank but the maximum usually influencing 5 factor shave been identified. It is in reality seen from the graphs that profitability is constantly reducing till yr 2015-sixteen but after that, there may be little bit boom in vital ratios. Thus, profitability has a fluctuating fashion over the chosen duration of examining, with a boom in current -3 years.

Bibliography

1. Gupta S.P (2014) Statistical Methods,44th Thoroughly Revised Edition 2014, Sultan Chand& Sons.
2. Kothari C R (2004) Research Methodology, Methods, and Techniques, Second Edition, New Age International.
3. Kothari C R and Gaurav Garg (2008) Research Methodology (Methods and Techniques) Third Edition, New Age International Publishers.
4. Pandey I M (2003) Financial Management, Eighth ed., Vikas Publishing house Pvt Ltd. Websites:

Websites

1. www.money control.com/
2. www.reserve bank of india.com
3. Statistical tables relating to banks in India

References

1. Angadi, V.V., and Devraj, V.J.(1983): "Profitability and Productivity of Banks in India," Economic and Political Weekly, Nov.26, 1983.
2. Bolda B. S., & Verma, R. (2007): "Determinants of Profitability of Banks in India: A Multivariate Analysis", Journal of Services Research, Vol.6, 75-89.
3. Chandan. C. L., & Rajput, P.K. (2002): "Profitability analysis of banks in India: A multiple regression approach", Indian Management Studies Journal. 6, 119-129.
4. Krishna, Dr. R.R. (1996).: "Profitability Analysis: an Overview," Indian Banking Today and Tomorrow, Sept. 1996.
5. Padmanabhan, B.S. (1998): "Revamping the Banking Sector," Yojana, July 1998.
6. Shah. S.G. (1978): " Bank Profitability – The Real Issues", The Journal of the Indian Institute of Bankers, 4, 130-144.
7. Singh, Kewaljeet (1999): Profitability Performance of Nationalized Banks: Some Issues, 1999.
8. Thiagarajan S., Ayyappan S., Ramachandran A. & Sakthivadivel M (2011): "An Analysis of Determinants of

Profitability in Public and Private Sector Banks in India" Research Journal of Social Science & Management vol. 01, No.6, October 2011.

9. Verghese. S. K. (1983). "Profits and Profitability of Indian Commercial Banks in Seventies", economic and Political Weekly, 18, 145-157.

10. Bolda B. S&S, & Verma, R. (2007 & 2012): "Determinants of Profitability of Banks in India: A Multivariate Analysis", Journal of Services Research, Vol.6, 75-89.

11. Thiagarajan S., Ayyappan S., Ramachandran A. & Sakthivadivel M (2011): "An Analysis of Determinants of Profitability in Public and Private Sector Banks in India" Research Journal of Social Science & Management vol. 01, No.6, October 2011.

12. Verghese. S. K. (1983). "Profits and Profitability of Indian Commercial Banks in Seventies", Economic and Political Weekly, 18, 145-157

13 Gupta S.P (2014) Statistical Methods,44th Thoroughly Revised Edition 2014, Sultan Chand& Sons.

14. Kothari C R (2004) Research Methodology, Methods, and Techniques, Second Edition, New Age International.

15. Kothari C R and Gaurav Garg (2008) Research Methodology (Methods and Techniques) Third Edition, New Age International Publishers.